arXiv:1103.4259v1 [math.FA] 22 Mar 2011

# ON UNIVERSAL SPACES FOR THE CLASS OF BANACH SPACES WHOSE DUAL BALLS ARE UNIFORM EBERLEIN COMPACTS

CHRISTINA BRECH AND PIOTR KOSZMIDER

Abstract. For $\kappa$ being the first uncountable cardinal $\omega_1$ or $\kappa$ being the cardinality of the continuum $\mathfrak{c}$, we prove that it is consistent that there is no Banach space of density $\kappa$ in which it is possible to isomorphically embed every Banach space of the same density which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable renorming or, equivalently, whose dual unit ball with the weak$^*$ topology is a subspace of a Hilbert space (a uniform Eberlein compact space). This complements a consequence of results of M. Bell and of M. Fabian, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler that assuming the continuum hypothesis, there is a universal space for all Banach spaces of density $\kappa = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$ which have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable renorming. Our result implies, in particular, that $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ may not map continuously onto a compact subset of a Hilbert space with the weak topology of density $\kappa = \omega_1$ or $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$ and that a $C(K)$ space for some uniform Eberlein compact space $K$ may not embed isomorphically into $\ell_\infty/c_0$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical result of Banach and Mazur states that every separable Banach space can be isometrically embedded into the Banach space $C([0,1])$. In this paper we deal with the problem of embedding nonseparable Banach spaces of a given class into a single nonseparable space. We are interested in the two most important uncountable densities, $\omega_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}$. Since a continuous onto map $\phi : K \to L$ (for $K, L$ compact Hausdorff spaces) gives an isometric embedding $T(f) = f \circ \phi$ of the Banach space $C(L)$ into $C(K)$, we have the following strictly related notions of universality:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of Banach spaces. We say that $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is (isometrically) universal space for the class $\mathcal{X}$ if for every $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ there is an (isometric) isomorphism onto its range $T : Y \to X$.*

*Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of compact Hausdorff spaces. We say that $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is a universal space for the class $\mathcal{K}$ if for every $L \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a continuous onto map $T : K \to L$.*

The following proposition shows that universal compact Hausdorff spaces and universal Banach spaces are closely related:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a class of compact Hausdorff spaces and $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of Banach spaces such that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$, $C(K) \in \mathcal{X}$ and for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$,*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B26; Secondary 03E35, 46B03.

The first author was partially supported by FAPESP (2010/12639-1) and Pró-reitoria de Pesquisa USP (10.1.24497.1.2).

The second author was partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education research grant N N201 386234.

*the dual unit ball $B_{X^*}$ with the weak* topology is in $\mathcal{K}$. If $K$ is a universal compact space for $\mathcal{K}$, then $C(K)$ is an isometrically universal Banach space for $\mathcal{X}$.*

*Proof.* Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. It is well known that $X$ isometrically embeds into $C(B_{X^*})$. By the hypothesis $B_{X^*} \in \mathcal{K}$ and so $K$ continuously maps onto $B_{X^*}$, which means that $C(B_{X^*})$ isometrically embeds into $C(K)$. Composing this isometric embedding with the isometric embedding of $X$ into $C(B_{X^*})$, we obtain the desired embedding of $X$ into $C(K)$. □

Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. Examples of pairs of classes $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying the hypothesis of the above proposition are:

- the class of all compact spaces of weight $\leq \kappa$ and the class of all Banach spaces of density $\leq \kappa$;
- the class of all Eberlein compact spaces (compact subsets of Banach spaces with the weak topology) of weight $\leq \kappa$ and the class of all weakly compactly generated Banach spaces (WCG) of density $\leq \kappa$;
- the class of all uniform Eberlein compact spaces (compact subsets of Hilbert spaces with the weak topology) of weight $\leq \kappa$ and the class of all Banach spaces which have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable renorming (UG) of density $\leq \kappa$.

The nonexistence of a universal Banach space implies, by the above, the nonexistence of a corresponding universal compact space, but the opposite direction is not immediate. There are some reasons for this. First, $C(K)$ may be universal without $K$ being universal, as in the case of $K = [0, 1]$ for the class of separable Banach spaces and metrizable compact spaces (however $C(K)$ is isomorphic to $C(\Delta)$ where $\Delta$ is the Cantor set, which is universal for metrizable compact spaces). Secondly, there are universal Banach spaces which are not isometrically universal. For example, consider a strictly convex renorming ($||x + y|| = 2$ for $||x|| = ||y|| = 1$ implies $x = y$) of $C([0, 1])$ (which exists by Theorem 9 of [5]) and notice that this space continues to be an isomorphically universal space but cannot isometrically include spaces whose norm is not strictly convex.

In the case of nonseparable Banach spaces we encounter mainly negative results concerning universality or results showing that to obtain a universal space one has to assume additional set-theoretic axioms. For example, it was proved in [2] that there are no universal WCG Banach spaces of density $\omega_1$ or $\mathfrak{c}$ nor universal Eberlein compact spaces of weight $\omega_1$ or $\mathfrak{c}$.

Assuming the continuum hypothesis, the compact space $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is a universal compact space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ and by the above proposition $\ell_\infty/c_0 \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ is an isometrically universal Banach space for the class of spaces of density $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$. However, it was shown in [7] that it is consistent that there is no isomorphically universal space of density $\mathfrak{c}$. K. Thompson and second author noted that a version of the proof from [7] gives the consistency of the nonexistence of a universal Banach space of density $\omega_1$.

In [3] M. Bell showed that assuming $\kappa^\omega = \kappa$ there is a universal uniform Eberlein compact space of weight $\kappa$. M. Fabian, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler showed that the class of Banach spaces satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 1.2 corresponding to the class of uniform Eberlein compact spaces is the class of UG Banach spaces. So, these two results imply by Proposition 1.2 that there are universal UG Banach spaces of density $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$. M. Bell also showed in [3] that it is consistent that there is no universal Eberlein compact of density $\omega_1$. The main result of this paper is to complement the above result by its Banach space version. Actually the full result is considerably stronger:

**Theorem 1.3.** *For $\kappa = \omega_1$ and for $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$, it is consistent that there is no Banach space $X$ of density $\kappa$ such that every UG Banach space of density $\kappa$ embeds into $X$. In particular, there is no universal Banach space for the class of UG Banach spaces of density $\kappa$.*

As in the case of the class of separable spaces the notions of universality and isometrical universality do not coincide for the class of UG spaces: a universal UG Banach space may not be an isometrically universal UG Banach space and this follows from the fact that WCG Banach spaces can be renormed to be strictly convex (see [1]).

The above theorem implies in particular that, consistently, $\ell_\infty/c_0$ does not contain an isomorphic copy of some UG Banach spaces of density $\mathfrak{c}$. This is related to some recent results of M. Dzamonja and L. Soukup [8] as well as to recent results of S. Todorcevic ([13]) concerning the consistent existence of $C(K)$s for $K$ Corson compact which do not embed into $\ell_\infty/c_0$. Also, the arguments like in the proof of Proposition 1.2 give that $\beta\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{N}$ cannot be mapped onto some compact subset of a Hilbert space with density $K$ with the weak topology.

The notation is fairly standard, the Banach spaces terminology follows [9] and the set-theoretic terminology follows [11]. Given a function $f$, we denote by $G_f$ the graph of $f$. $Fn_{<\omega}(n, D)$ stands for all finite partial functions from $\{0, ...n-1\}$ into $D$ and $Fn_{<\omega}(\omega, D)$ (resp. $Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D)$) for all finite (resp. countable) partial functions from $\omega$ into $D$. If $X$ is a set, then $[X]^1$ denotes the collection of one-element subsets of $X$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Boolean algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $[a]$ denotes the basic clopen set of the Stone space of $\mathcal{A}$ determined by $a$, that is the set of all ultrafilters of $\mathcal{A}$ containing $a$. A partial order has precaliber $\omega_1$ if and only if every uncountable subset of it includes an uncountable subset where every finite subset has a lower bound.

**Definition 1.4.** *A Boolean algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a (c)-algebra if it is generated by elements $\{A_{\xi,i} : \xi < \kappa, i < \omega\}$ of countably many pairwise disjoint antichains $\mathcal{A}_i = \{A_{\xi,i} : \xi < \kappa\}$ (collections of pairwise disjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$) such that*

(c) $A_{\xi_1,i_1} \vee ... \vee A_{\xi_m,i_m} \neq 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ *for any distinct pairs* $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_m, \xi_m) \in \omega \times \kappa$.

We use the fact proved in [3] (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2) based on the results of [6] that the Stone space of (c)-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a uniform Eberlein compact space. The link between uniform Eberlein compact spaces and UG Banach spaces is based on the following:

**Theorem 1.5** (Theorem 2, [10])**.**

1. *A Banach space $X$ admits an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm if and only if the dual unit ball $B_{X^*}$ equipped with the weak* topology is a uniform Eberlein compact.*
2. *A compact space $K$ is a uniform Eberlein compact if and only if $C(K)$ admits an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm, if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{H}$ onto a dense set in $C(K)$.*

The main idea of the proofs is to construct a large family of generic $c$-algebras and prove that given a UG Banach space $X$ one of the algebras is suficiently generic over $X$ to prevent a possibility of an isomorphic embedding of the Banach space $C(K)$ where K is the Stone space of the algebra. The $c$-algebras are constructed by partial order of approximations (see definitions 2.1 and 3.1) and the method of forcing is used to make conclusions about their consistent existence (see [11]).

## 2. Density $\omega_1$

This section is devoted to the proof of the main result (Theorem 1.3) for the case $\kappa = \omega_1$. The following partial order will approximate the generic $c$-algebras of cardinality $\omega_1$, one of which will induce a Banach space UG without an isomorphic embedding into a given Banach space UG.

**Definition 2.1.** *$\mathbb{P}$ is the forcing notion consisting of conditions $p = (n_p, D_p, F_p)$ where $n_p \in \omega$, $D_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ and $F_p \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n_p, D_p)$ are such that $|F_p| < \omega$ and $[n_p \times D_p]^1 \subseteq F_p$, ordered by $p \leq q$ if $n_p \geq n_q$, $D_p \supseteq D_q$, $F_p \supseteq F_q$ and*
(P) *given $f \in F_p$, there is $g \in F_q$ such that $G_f \cap (n_q \times D_q) \subseteq G_g$.*

Given a model $V$ and a $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter $G$ over $V$, for each $\xi \in \omega_1$ and each $i \in \omega$, we define in $V[G]$ the following set:

$$A_{\xi,i} = \{f \in Fn_{<\omega}(\omega, \omega_1) : \exists p \in G \text{ such that } f \in F_p \text{ and } f(i) = \xi\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the subalgebra of the Boolean algebra $\wp(Fn_{<\omega}(\omega, \omega_1))$ generated by the sets $\{A_{\xi,i} : (i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_1\}$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every $\xi \in \omega_1$, $i \in \omega$ and every $q \in \mathbb{P}$ there is $p \leq q$ such that $\xi \in D_p$ and $i < n_p$.*

*Proof.* Let $n_p = \max(i + 1, n_q)$ and $D_q = D_p \cup \{\xi\}$, $F_p = F_q \cup [n_p \times D_p]^1$. Clearly $p \in \mathbb{P}$. As the graph of every new partial function in $F_p$ has empty intersection with $(n_q \times D_q)$, we conclude that $p \leq q$. □

**Proposition 2.3.** *In $V[G]$, we have that for every $i \in \omega$, $(A_{\xi,i})_{\xi<\omega_1}$ are pairwise disjoint nonempty sets such that whenever $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$ are distinct pairs, then*

$$A_{i,\xi} \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1} \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Therefore, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by them is a $c$-algebra of cardinality $\omega_1$ and its Stone space $K$ is a uniform Eberlein compact space of weight $\omega_1$.*

*Proof.* For all distinct $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$, by 2.2, we have

$$\{(i, \xi)\} \in A_{i,\xi} \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1} \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}).$$

So each $A_{\xi,i}$ is nonempty and the condition (c) of Definition 1.4 is satisfied. It also follows directly from the fact that $f$'s are functions and that $(i, \xi) \in A_{\xi,i}$ that $(A_{\xi,i})_{\xi<\omega_2}$ are pairwise disjoint and nonempty. □

For each $(i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_1$, let $\dot{A}_{\xi,i}$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-name for $A_{\xi,i}$.

**Definition 2.4.** *Given $p_1 = (n_1, D_1, F_1), p_2 = (n_2, D_2, F_2) \in \mathbb{P}$, we say that they are isomorphic if $n_1 = n_2$ and there is an order-preserving bijection $e : D_1 \to D_2$ such that $e|_{D_1 \cap D_2} = id$ and for all $f \in Fn_{<\omega}(\omega, \omega_1)$, $f \in F_1$ if and only if $e[f] \in F_2$, where $e[f](i) = e(f(i))$.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let* $p_k = (n, D_k, F_k)$ *in* $\mathbb{P}$, *for* $1 \leq k \leq m$, *be pairwise isomorphic conditions such that* $(D_k)_{1\leq k\leq m}$ *is a* $\Delta$*-system with root* $D$. *Then, there is* $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \leq p_1, \dots, p_m$ *such that for any distinct* $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$, *any distinct* $1 \leq k, k' \leq m$ *and any* $\xi \in D_k \setminus D$ *and* $\xi' \in D_{k'} \setminus D$ *we have*

$$p \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi},i} \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}',i'} = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* We define $p = (n_p, D_p, F_p)$ by letting $n_p = n$, $D_p = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_m$ and $F_p = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m$. Let us prove that $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and that $p \leq p_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

As finite unions of finite sets, $D_p$ and $F_p$ are countable. Notice that $[n \times D_p]^1 = [n \times D_1]^1 \cup \dots \cup [n \times D_m]^1 \subseteq F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m = F_p$ and that $F_p \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_1) \cup \dots \cup Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_m) \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_p)$, so that $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq m$ and let us now show that $p \leq p_k$. By the definition of $p$, $n_p = n$, $D_p \supseteq D_k$ and $F_p \supseteq F_k$. Given $f \in F_p$, (P) of Definition 2.1 is trivially satisfied if $f \in F_k$. So, suppose $f \in F_{k'} \setminus F_k$ for some $k' \neq k$ and let $e$ be the order-preserving bijection from $D_{k'}$ onto $D_k$. Since $p_k$ and $p_{k'}$ are isomorphic and $f \in F_{k'}$, we get that $g = e[f] \in F_k$. Let us prove that $G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k) = G_g \cap (n_p \times D) \subseteq G_g$: given $(i, \xi) \in G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k)$, we have that $f(i) = \xi$ and since $f \in F_{k'} \subseteq n_p \times D_{k'}$, we get that $\xi \in D_k \cap D_{k'} = D$. Then $g(i) = e[f](i) = e(f(i)) = e(\xi) = \xi$, since $\xi \in D$. This proves that $G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k) \subseteq G_g$ and concludes the proof that $p \leq p_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Now let $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$ be distinct, $1 \leq k < k' \leq m$ and let $\xi \in D_k \setminus D$ and $\xi' \in D_{k'} \setminus D$. Note that in $F_p$ there is no function $f$ such that $f(i) = \xi$ and $f(i') = \xi'$. Let $G$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter such that $p \in G$. Given any $p' \in G$, there is $p'' \in G$ such that $p'' \leq p, p'$. By (P) of Definition 2.1, no function $g \in F_{p''}$ satisfies $g(i) = \xi$ and $g(i') = \xi'$, hence there is no such function in $p'$. It follows from the definition of $A_{\xi,i}$'s that $A_{\xi,i} \cap A_{\xi',i'} = \emptyset$ in $V[G]$. Since $G$ was an arbitrary $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter containing $p$, we conclude that $p \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi},i} \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}',i'} = \emptyset$. □

**Theorem 2.6.** $\mathbb{P}$ *has precaliber* $\omega_1$ *and hence satisfies the c.c.c.*

*Proof.* Let $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, where each $p_\alpha = (n_\alpha, D_\alpha, F_\alpha)$. By the $\Delta$-system lemma, we may assume that $(D_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a $\Delta$-system with root $D$. By standard counting arguments, we may assume without loss of generality that $n_\alpha = n$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ and some fixed $n \in \omega$. By thinning out using further counting arguments, we can assume that for every $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$, $p_\alpha$ and $p_\beta$ are isomorphic. Now, given $\alpha_1 < ... < \alpha_n < \omega_1$, by Lemma 2.5 there is $p \leq p_{\alpha_1}, ...p_{\alpha_n}$. □

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let* $p_k = (n, D_k, F_k)$ *in* $\mathbb{P}$, *for* $1 \leq k \leq m$, *be pairwise isomorphic conditions such that* $(D_k)_{1\leq k\leq m}$ *is a* $\Delta$*-system with root* $D$. *Given, for all* $1 \leq k \leq m$, $\xi_k \in D_k \setminus D$ *and distinct* $i_k < n$, *there is* $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \leq p_1, \dots, p_m$ *such that*

$$p \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1,i_1} \cap \dots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m,i_m} \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* We define $p = (n_p, D_p, F_p)$ by letting $n_p = n$, $D_p = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_m$ and

$$F_p = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m \cup \{f_0\},$$

where $f_0 = \{(i_1, \xi_1), \dots, (i_m, \xi_m)\}$. Let us check that $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and that $p \leq p_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

As finite unions of finite sets, $D_p$ and $F_p$ are finite. Notice that $[n \times D_p]^1 = [n \times D_1]^1 \cup \dots \cup [n \times D_m]^1 \subseteq F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m \subseteq F_p$ and that $F_p \setminus \{f_0\} \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_1) \cup \dots \cup$

$Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_m) \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_p)$. Since $f_0 \in Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m) \subseteq Fn_{<\omega}(n, D_p)$, we get that $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq m$ and let us now verify that $p \leq p_k$. By the definition of $p$, $n_p = n$, $D_p \supseteq D_k$ and $F_p \supseteq F_k$. To check (P) of Definition 2.1, given $f \in F_p$, let us consider three cases:

**Case 1.** If $f \in F_k$, then (P) is trivially satisfied.

**Case 2.** If $f \in F_{k'} \setminus F_k$ for some $k' \neq k$, let $e$ be the order-preserving bijection from $D_{k'}$ onto $D_k$. Since $p_k$ and $p_{k'}$ are isomorphic and $f \in F_{k'}$, we get that $g = e[f] \in F_k$. Let us prove that $G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k) = G_g \cap (n_p \times D) \subseteq G_g$: given $(i, \xi) \in G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k)$, we have that $f(i) = \xi$ and since $f \in F_{k'} \subseteq n_p \times D_{k'}$, we get that $\xi \in D_k \cap D_{k'} = D$. Then $g(i) = e[f](i) = e(f(i)) = e(\xi) = \xi$, since $\xi \in D$. This proves that $G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k) \subseteq G_g$, as we wanted.

**Case 3.** If $f = f_0 = \{(i_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (i_m, \xi_m)\}$, then $G_f \cap (n_k \times D_k) = \{(i_k, \xi_k)\} \subseteq F_k$ by Definition 2.1.

Finally, since $f_0 \in F_p$, $p$ forces that $\check{f}_0 \in \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1, i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m, i_m}$, so that

$$p \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1, i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m, i_m} \neq \emptyset,$$

which concludes the proof. □

**Definition 2.8.** *$\Sigma$ is the product of $\omega_2$ copies of $\mathbb{P}$, with finite supports, that is,*

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma : dom(\sigma) \to \mathbb{P} : dom(\sigma) \in [\omega_2]^{<\omega}\},$$

*ordered by $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ if $dom(\sigma_1) \supseteq dom(\sigma_2)$ and for every $\alpha \in dom(\sigma_2)$, $\sigma_1(\alpha) \leq \sigma_2(\alpha)$. Given $A \subseteq \omega_2$, let*

$$\Sigma_A = \{\sigma \in \Sigma : dom(\sigma) \subseteq A\}.$$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Given $\gamma_0 \in \omega_2$, if $A = \omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$, then the forcing $\Sigma_A$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma$.*

*Proof.* Lift to $\mathbb{P}$ a bijection between $\omega_2$ and $\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$. □

**Theorem 2.10.** *$V^\Sigma \models$ "there is no Banach space $X$ of density $\omega_1 < \mathfrak{c}$ such that for every uniform Eberlein compact space $K$ of weight at most $\omega_1$, $C(K)$ can be isomorphically embedded into $X$".*

*Proof.* We work in $V$. By contradiction, suppose that such a Banach space exists in $V^\Sigma$ and let $\dot{X}$ be a $\Sigma$-name for it. Since $\Sigma$ forces that $\dot{X}$ has density $\omega_1$, let $(\dot{v}_\eta)_{\eta<\omega_1}$ be a family of $\Sigma$-names such that $\Sigma \Vdash \{\dot{v}_\eta : \eta < \omega_1\}$ is a dense subset[1] of $\dot{X}$.

For each $F \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$, let $A_F \subseteq \Sigma$ be a maximal antichain in $\Sigma$ such that for every $\sigma \in A_F$,

$$\text{either } \sigma \Vdash \|\sum_{\eta\in F} \dot{v}_\eta\| > 2 \quad \text{ or } \sigma \Vdash \|\sum_{\eta\in F} \dot{v}_\eta\| \leq 2.$$

Since $\Sigma$ is ccc, each $A_F$ is countable and for each $\sigma \in A_F$, $|dom(\sigma)| < \omega$. Then, the set

$$\bigcup\{dom(\sigma) : \sigma \in A_F \text{ for some } F \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}\}$$

---

[1] Unfortunately, the Banach space $\dot{X}$ will not belong to any intermediate model, so we cannot make use of any factorization of $\Sigma$ unless we are willing to deal with normed non-complete spaces over the rationals and approximations (possibly nonlinear) of linear operators into its completion. We have choosen a simpler approach, in our opinion, and work in $V$ with the full product $\Sigma$.

has cardinality at most $\omega_1$. Fix $\gamma_0 \in \omega_2$ such that for every $F \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ and every $\sigma \in A_F$, $\gamma_0 \notin dom(\sigma)$.

Since $\Sigma \sim \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_{\{\gamma_0\}} \sim \Sigma_A \times \mathbb{P}$, let us consider in $V^{\Sigma_A \times \Sigma_{\{\gamma_0\}}}$, the Stone space $K_{\gamma_0}$ of the algebra generated by the family $(A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0))_{\xi \in \omega_1, i \in \omega}$ added by $\Sigma_{\{\gamma_0\}} \sim \mathbb{P}$ over $V^{\Sigma_A}$. By Proposition 2.3, $K_{\gamma_0}$ is a uniform Eberlein compact of weight $\omega_1$ and let us show that $C(K_{\gamma_0})$ does not embed isomorphically into $\dot{X}$. For each $(i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_1$, let $\dot{A}_{\xi,i}$ be a $\Sigma$-name for $A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$.

Suppose there is $\dot{T} : C(K_{\gamma_0}) \to \dot{X}$ an isomorphic embedding and without loss of generality, assume that $\Sigma \Vdash \|\dot{T}\| = 1$. Let $p_0 \in \Sigma$, now find $p_1 \leq p_0$ and $m \in \omega$ such that $p_1 \Vdash 3 \cdot \|\dot{T}^{-1}\| < \check{m}$.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $\{\xi_k(\alpha) : 1 \leq k \leq m\} \subseteq \omega_1 \setminus \alpha$ have cardinality $m$ and take $\sigma_\alpha \in \Sigma$ with $\sigma_\alpha \leq p_1$ and $\eta_1(\alpha), \ldots \eta_m(\alpha) \in \omega_1$ such that

$$\sigma_\alpha \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k \leq m \quad \|\dot{T}(\chi_{\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha),k}(\gamma_0)}) - \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha)}\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Let $s_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha|_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}} \in \Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ and $\sigma_\alpha(\gamma_0) = (n_\alpha, D_\alpha, F_\alpha)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi_1(\alpha), \ldots, \xi_m(\alpha) \in D_\alpha$. Using the $\Delta$-system lemma, we may assume that $(D_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a $\Delta$-system with root $D$ and that $\xi_1(\alpha), \ldots, \xi_m(\alpha) \in D_\alpha \setminus D$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ the conditions $\sigma_\alpha(\gamma_0)$ are pairwise isomorphic.

By Proposition 2.9, $\Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma$ and since, by the productivity of precaliber $\omega_1$ and Lemma 2.6 $\Sigma$ has precaliber $\omega_1$, so does $\Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$. So, given $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m$, there is $s \in \Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ such that $s \leq s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_m}$.

Let $F = \{\eta_1(\alpha_1), \ldots, \eta_m(\alpha_m)\}$ and since $A_F$ is a maximal antichain in $\Sigma$ which is contained in $\Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$, $A_F$ is also a maximal antichain in $\Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$. Then, there is $s' \in A_F$ and $s'' \in \Sigma_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ such that $s'' \leq s, s'$.

Since $s'' \leq s'$ and $s' \in A_F$, either

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| \leq 2$$

or

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 2.$$

Let us now get a contradiction.

**Case 1.** $s''$ forces that $\|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 2$.

In this case, let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be such that $p \leq \sigma_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \ldots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$ obtained by Lemma 2.5 and let $\sigma = (s'', p) \in \Sigma$. Then, $\sigma \leq s'', p$ and $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}$. So,

$$\sigma \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k < k' \leq m \quad \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k),i_k}(\gamma_0) \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_{k'}(\alpha_{k'}),i_{k'}}(\gamma_0) = \emptyset$$

so that

$$\sigma \Vdash \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1),i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m),i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| = 1.$$

Since $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}$,

$$\sigma \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k \leq m \quad \|\dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k),i_k}(\gamma_0)]}) - \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha_k)}\| < \frac{1}{m},$$

so that, using the fact that $\Sigma \Vdash \|\dot{T}\| = 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma \Vdash \quad & \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| \\
& \leq \|\sum_{k=1}^{m}(\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha_k)} - \dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k),i_k}(\gamma_0)]})) + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k),i_k}(\gamma_0)]})\| \\
& \leq \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1),i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m),i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| + m \cdot \tfrac{1}{m} \leq 2,
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that $\sigma \le s''$ and

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot v_{\check\eta_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot v_{\check\eta_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 2.$$

**Case 2.** $s''$ forces that $\|\dot v_{\check\eta_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot v_{\check\eta_m(\alpha_m)}\| \le 2$.

In this case, let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be such that $p \le \sigma_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \dots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$ obtained by Lemma 2.7 and let $\sigma = (s'', p) \in \Sigma$. Then, $\sigma \le s'', p$ and $\sigma \le \sigma_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}$. So,

$$\sigma \Vdash \dot A_{\check\xi_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0) \cap \cdots \cap \dot A_{\check\xi_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0) \ne \emptyset$$

so that

$$\sigma \Vdash \|\chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| = m.$$

Since $\sigma \le \sigma_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sigma_{\alpha_m}$,

$$\sigma \Vdash \forall 1 \le k \le m \quad \|\dot T(\chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_k(\alpha_k), i_k}(\gamma_0)]}) - \dot v_{\check\eta_k(\alpha_k)}\| < \frac{1}{m},$$

so that, using the fact that $\sigma \le s''$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \Vdash \quad & \|\dot T(\chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_1(\alpha_1), i_1}]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_m(\alpha_m), i_m}]})\| \\ & \le \|\sum_{k=1}^{m}(\dot T(\chi_{[\dot A_{\check\xi_k(\alpha_k), i_k}(\gamma_0)]}) - \dot v_{\check\eta_k(\alpha_k)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \dot v_{\check\eta_k(\alpha_k)}\| \\ & \le \|\dot v_{\check\eta_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot v_{\check\eta_m(\alpha_m)}\| + m \cdot \tfrac{1}{m} \le 3,\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $\Sigma \Vdash 3 \cdot \|\dot T^{-1}\| < m$.

Since the condition $p_0 \in \Sigma$ was arbitrary, we showed that a dense subset of $\Sigma$ forces the nonexistence of the embedding $\dot T$, hence it does not exist in $V[G]$. □

## 3. Density $\mathfrak{c}$

This section is devoted to the proof of the main result 1.3 for the case $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$. The following partial order will approximate the generic $c$-algebras of cardinality $\omega_2 = \mathfrak{c}$, one of which will induce a Banach space UG without an isomorphic embedding into a given Banach space UG.

**Definition 3.1.** *$\mathbb{Q}$ is the forcing notion of conditions $q = (D_q, F_q)$ where $D_q \in [\omega_2]^{\le\omega}$ and $F_q \subseteq Fn_{\le\omega}(\omega, D_q)$ are such that $|F_q| \le \omega$ and $[\omega \times D_q]^1 \subseteq F_q$, ordered by $p \le q$ if $D_p \supseteq D_q$, $F_p \supseteq F_q$ and*

(Q) *given $f \in F_p$, there is $g \in F_q$ such that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_q) \subseteq G_g$.*

**Proposition 3.2.** *$\mathbb{Q}$ is $\sigma$-closed.*

*Proof.* Let $(q_n)_{n\in\omega} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $q_{n+1} \le q_n$ for all $n \in \omega$, where each $q_n = (D_n, F_n)$. Define $q = (D_q, F_q)$ by $D_q = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} D_n$ and $F_q = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} F_n$. As countable unions of countable sets, $D_q$ and $F_q$ are countable. Also, $[\omega \times D_q]^1 = \bigcup_{n\in\omega}([\omega \times D_n]^1) \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\omega} F_n = F_q$ and $F_q \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\omega} Fn_{\le\omega}(\omega, D_n) \subseteq Fn_{\le\omega}(\omega, D_q)$, so that $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Fix $n \in \omega$ and let us now prove that $q \le q_n$. Clearly $D_q \supseteq D_n$ and $F_q \supseteq D_n$. To prove (Q) of Definition 3.1, given $f \in F_q$, there is $k \in \omega$ such that $f \in F_k$. If $k \le n$, then $q_n \le q_k$, so that $f \in F_k \subseteq F_n$ and we are done. If $k > n$, since $q_k \le q_n$, there is $g \in F_n$ such that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_n) \subseteq G_g$. If suffices now to notice that $g \in F_n \subseteq F_q$. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *For every $\xi \in \omega_2$ and every $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ there is $p \le q$ such that $\xi \in D_p$.*

*Proof.* Let $D_q = D_p \cup \{\xi\}$, $F_p = F_q \cup [\omega \times \{\xi\}]^1$. Clearly $p \in \mathbb{P}$. As the graphs of all new partial functions in $F_p$ have empty intersections with $(\omega \times D_q)$ we conclude that $p \leq q$. □

Given a model $V$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-generic filter $G$ over $V$, for each $\xi \in \omega_2$ and each $i \in \omega$, we define in $V[G]$ the following set:

$$A_{\xi,i} = \{f \in Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, \omega_2) : \exists q \in G \text{ such that } f \in F_q \text{ and } f(i) = \xi\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Boolean algebra generated by $\{A_{\xi,i} : (i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_2\}$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *In $V[G]$, we have that for every $i \in \omega$, $(A_{\xi,i})_{\xi<\omega_2}$ are pairwise disjoint nonempty sets such that whenever $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$ are distinct pairs, then*

$$A_{i,\xi} \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1} \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Therefore, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by them is a c-algebra of cardinality $\omega_2$ and its Stone space $K$ is a uniform Eberlein compact space of weight $\omega_2$.*

*Proof.* By 3.3, for all distinct $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$ we have

$$\{(i, \xi)\} \in A_{i,\xi} \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1} \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}).$$

So each $A_{\xi,i}$ is nonempty and the condition (c) of Definition 1.4 is satisfied. It also follows directly from the fact that $f$'s are functions and that $(i, \xi) \in A_{\xi,i}$ that $(A_{\xi,i})_{\xi<\omega_2}$ are pairwise disjoint. □

For each $(i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_2$, let $\dot{A}_{\xi,i}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-name for $A_{\xi,i}$.

**Definition 3.5.** *Given $q_1 = (D_1, F_1), q_2 = (D_2, F_2) \in \mathbb{Q}$, we say that they are isomorphic if there is an order-preserving bijection $e : D_1 \to D_2$ such that $e|_{D_1 \cap D_2} = id$ and for all $f \in Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, \omega_2)$, $f \in F_1$ if and only if $e[f] \in F_2$, where $e[f](i) = e(f(i))$.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let $q_k = (D_k, F_k)$ in $\mathbb{Q}$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, be pairwise isomorphic conditions such that $(D_k)_{1\leq k\leq m}$ is a $\Delta$-system with a countable root $D$. Then, there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \leq q_1, \ldots, q_m$ such that for any distinct $i, i' \in \omega$, any distinct $1 \leq k, k' \leq m$ and any $\xi \in D_k \setminus D$ and $\xi' \in D_{k'} \setminus D$ we have*

$$q \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi},i} \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}',i'} = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* We define $q = (D_q, F_q)$ by letting $D_q = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ and $F_q = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m$. Let us prove that $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and that $q \leq q_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

As finite unions of countable sets, $D_q$ and $F_q$ are countable. Notice that $\omega \times D_q = (\omega \times D_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\omega \times D_m) \subseteq F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m = F_q$ and that $F_q \subseteq Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_1) \cup \cdots \cup Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_m) \subseteq Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_q)$, so that $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq m$ and let us now show that $q \leq q_k$. By the definition of $q$, $D_q \supseteq D_k$ and $F_q \supseteq F_k$. Given $f \in F_q$, (Q) of Definition 3.1 is trivially satisfied if $f \in F_k$. So, suppose $f \in F_{k'} \setminus F_k$ for some $k' \neq k$ and let $e$ be the order-preserving bijection from $D_{k'}$ onto $D_k$. Since $q_k$ and $q_{k'}$ are isomorphic and $f \in F_{k'}$, we get that $g = e[f] \in F_k$. Let us prove that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k) = G_g \cap (\omega \times D) \subseteq G_g$: given $(i, \xi) \in G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k)$, we have that $f(i) = \xi$ and since $f \in F_{k'} \subseteq \omega \times D_{k'}$, we get that $\xi \in D_k \cap D_{k'} = D$. Then $g(i) = e[f](i) = e(f(i)) = e(\xi) = \xi$, since $\xi \in D$. This proves that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k) \subseteq G_g$ and concludes the proof.

Now let $i, i' \in \omega$ be distinct, $1 \leq k < k' \leq m$ and let $\xi \in D_k \setminus D$ and $\xi' \in D_{k'} \setminus D$. Note that in $F_q$ there is no function $f$ such that $f(i) = \xi$ and $f(i') = \xi'$. Let $G$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-generic filter such that $q \in G$. Given any $q' \in G$, there is $q'' \in G$ such that $q'' \leq q, q'$. By Definition 3.1 (Q), no function $g \in F_{q''}$ satisfies $g(i) = \xi$ and $g(i') = \xi'$, hence there is no such function in $F_{q'}$. It follows from the definition of $A_{\xi,i}$'s that $A_{\xi,i} \cap A_{\xi',i'} = \emptyset$ in $V[G]$. Since $G$ was an arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$-generic filter containing $q$, we conclude that $q \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi},i} \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}',i'} = \emptyset$. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assuming CH, given $(q_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_2} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, there is $A \in [\omega_2]^{\omega_2}$ such that for every $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m \in A$, there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \leq q_{\alpha_1}, \ldots q_{\alpha_m}$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies the $\omega_2$-c.c.*

*Proof.* Let $(q_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_2} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, where each $q_\alpha = (D_\alpha, F_\alpha)$. Using CH, by the $\Delta$-system lemma for countable sets (see [11]), there is $A' \in [\omega_2]^{\omega_2}$ such that $(D_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a $\Delta$-system with countable root $D$. By thinning out using CH and counting arguments, in particular the fact that there are $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$ countable sets of the set of $D^\omega$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_1$, there is $A \in [A']^{\omega_2}$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in A$, $q_\alpha$ and $q_\beta$ are isomorphic. Now, given $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m \in A$, by Lemma 2.5 there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \leq q_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, q_{\alpha_m}$, which concludes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let $q_k = (D_k, F_k)$ in $\mathbb{Q}$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, be pairwise isomorphic conditions such that $(D_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is a $\Delta$-system with a countable root $D$. Given, for all $1 \leq k \leq m$, $\xi_k \in D_k \setminus D$ and distinct $i_k \in \omega$, there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \leq q_1, \ldots, q_m$ such that*

$$q \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1,i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m,i_m} \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* We define $q = (D_q, F_q)$ by letting $D_q = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ and

$$F_q = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m \cup \{f_0\},$$

where $f_0 = \{(i_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (i_m, \xi_m)\}$. Let us check that $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and that $q \leq q_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

As finite unions of countable sets, $D_q$ and $F_q$ are countable. Notice that $[\omega \times D_q]^1 = [\omega \times D_1]^1 \cup \cdots \cup [\omega \times D_m]^1 \subseteq F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m \subseteq F_q$ and that $F_q \setminus \{f_0\} \subseteq Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_1) \cup \cdots \cup Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_m) \subseteq Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_q)$. Since $f_0 \in Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m) \subseteq Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, D_q)$, we get that $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq m$ and let us now verify that $q \leq q_k$. By the definition of $q$, $D_q \supseteq D_k$ and $F_q \supseteq F_k$. To check (Q) of Definition 3.1, given $f \in F_q$, let us consider three cases:

**Case 1.** If $f \in F_k$, then (Q) is trivially satisfied.

**Case 2.** If $f \in F_{k'} \setminus F_k$ for some $k' \neq k$, let $e$ be the order-preserving bijection from $D_{k'}$ onto $D_k$. Since $q_k$ and $q_{k'}$ are isomorphic and $f \in F_{k'}$, we get that $g = e[f] \in F_k$. Let us prove that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k) = G_g \cap (\omega \times D) \subseteq G_g$: given $(i, \xi) \in G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k)$, we have that $f(i) = \xi$ and since $f \in F_{k'} \subseteq \omega \times D_{k'}$, we get that $\xi \in D_k \cap D_{k'} = D$. Then $g(i) = e[f](i) = e(f(i)) = e(\xi) = \xi$, since $\xi \in D$. This proves that $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k) \subseteq G_g$, as we wanted.

**Case 3.** If $f = f_0 = \{(i_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (i_m, \xi_m)\}$, then $G_f \cap (\omega \times D_k) = \{(i_k, \xi_k)\} \subseteq F_k$ by Definition 3.1.

Finally, since $f_0 \in F_q$, $q$ forces that $\check{f}_0 \in \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1,i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m,i_m}$ and we get that

$$q \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1,i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m,i_m} \neq \emptyset,$$

which concludes the proof. □

**Definition 3.9.** *$\Pi$ is the product of $\omega_3$ copies of $\mathbb{Q}$, with countable supports, that is:*

$$\Pi = \{\pi : dom(\pi) \to \mathbb{Q} : dom(\pi) \in [\omega_3]^{\leq\omega}\},$$

*ordered by $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$ if $dom(\pi_1) \supseteq dom(\pi_2)$ and for every $\alpha \in dom(\pi_2)$, $\pi_1(\alpha) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_2(\alpha)$. Given $A \subseteq \omega_3$, let*

$$\Pi_A = \{\pi \in \Pi : dom(\pi) \subseteq A\}.$$

*$\mathbb{R}$ is the product of Cohen forcing adding $\omega_2$ Cohen reals with $\Pi$, that is,*

$$\mathbb{R} = Fn_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Given $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$, if $A = \omega_3 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$, then the forcing $\Pi_A$ is isomorphic to $\Pi$ and, therefore,*

$$\mathbb{R} = Fn_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi \sim Fn_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_A \times \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}.$$

*Proof.* Lift a bijection between $\omega_3$ and $\omega_3 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$ to an isomorphism of $\Pi$ and $\Pi_A$. □

Given a model $V$ of CH and an $\mathbb{R}$-generic filter $G$ over $V$, for each $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$, each $\xi \in \omega_2$ and each $i \in \omega$, we define in $V[G]$ the following set:

$A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0) = \{f \in Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, \omega_2) \cap V : \exists r = (C, \pi) \in G$ such that $f \in F_{\pi(\gamma_0)}$ and $f(i) = \xi\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma_0}$ be a subalgebra of the Boolean algebra $\wp(Fn_{\leq\omega}(\omega, \omega_2))$ generated by $\{A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0) : (i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_2\}$.

**Proposition 3.11.** *In $V[G]$, we have that for every $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$ and every $i \in \omega$, $(A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0))_{\xi<\omega_2}$ are pairwise disjoint nonempty sets such that whenever $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$ are distinct pairs, then*

$$A_{i,\xi}(\gamma_0) \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1}(\gamma_0) \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}(\gamma_0)) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Therefore, the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma_0}$ generated by them is a c-algebra of cardinality $\omega_2$ and its Stone space $K_{\gamma_0}$ is a uniform Eberlein compact space of weight $\omega_2$.*

*Proof.* Fix $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$. By 3.3 for all distinct $(i_1, \xi_1), ..., (i_k, \xi_k), (i, \xi)$ we have

$$\{(i, \xi)\} \in A_{i,\xi}(\gamma_0) \setminus (A_{i_1,\xi_1}(\gamma_0) \cup ... \cup A_{i_k,\xi_k}(\gamma_0)).$$

So each $A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$ is nonempty and the condition (c) of Definition 1.4 is satisfied. It follows directly from the fact that $f$'s are functions and that $(i, \xi) \in A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$ that $(A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0))_{\xi<\omega_2}$ are pairwise disjoint. □

Since now we work in $V$. For each $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$ and each $(i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_2$, let $\dot{A}_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-name for $A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Assuming CH, given $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, there is $A \in [\omega_2]^{\omega_2}$ such that for every $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m \in A$, there is $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \leq r_{\alpha_1}, \ldots r_{\alpha_m}$. In particular, $\mathbb{R}$ is $\omega_2$-cc.*

*Proof.* Let $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, where each $r_\alpha = (C_\alpha, \pi_\alpha)$. Let $B_\alpha = dom(\pi_\alpha) \in [\omega_3]^{\leq\omega}$. Using CH and the $\Delta$-system lemma for countable sets, there is $A' \in [\omega_2]^{\omega_2}$ such that $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A'}$ is a $\Delta$-system with countable root $B$ and that $(dom(C_\alpha))_{\alpha\in A'}$ is a $\Delta$-system with finite root $\Delta$. Using another standard counting argument we may assume that $C_\alpha|_\Delta = C_\beta|_\Delta$ for any $\alpha < \beta \in A'$. By thinning out using further counting arguments and CH, there is $A \in [A']^{\omega_2}$ such that for every $\xi \in B$, $(D_{r_\alpha(\xi)}))_{\alpha\in A}$ is a $\Delta$-system with countable root $D_\xi$ and $(\pi_\alpha(\xi))_{\alpha\in A}$ are pairwise isomorphic.

Fix $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m \in A$. Let us define $r = (C_r, \pi_r)$: put $C_r = C_{\alpha_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{\alpha_m}$ and $dom(\pi_r) = B_{\alpha_1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{\alpha_m}$. For each $\xi \in B$, let $\pi_r(\xi) \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the condition given by Lemma 3.6, such that $\pi_r(\xi) \leq \pi_{\alpha_1}(\xi), \ldots, \pi_{\alpha_m}(\xi)$. If $\xi \in B_{\alpha_k} \setminus B$, let $\pi_r(\xi) = \pi_{\alpha_k}(\xi)$.

Clearly $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_r \leq C_{\alpha_k}$ by the definition, for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. Also, both for $\xi \in B$ and for $\xi \in B_{\alpha_k} \setminus B$, $\pi_r(\xi) \leq \pi_{\alpha_k}(\xi)$, so that $r \leq r_{\alpha_k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *If CH holds in $V$, then $V^{\mathbb{R}} \models$ "there is no Banach space $X$ of density $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ such that for every uniform Eberlein compact space $K$ of weight at most $\mathfrak{c}$, $C(K)$ can be isomorphically embedded into $X$".*

*Proof.* First note that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$. By the product lemma ([11]), for any generic $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the extension $V[G]$ is of the form $V[H_1][H_2]$ where $H_1$ is a $\Pi$-generic over $V$ and $H_2$ is $\check{F}n_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2)$-generic over $V[H_1]$. The first forcing $\Pi$ satisfies the $\omega_2$-c.c. by Lemma 3.12 and is $\sigma$-closed by Proposition 3.2, so $V[H_1]$ has the same cardinals as in $V$ and satisfies the CH. The second forcing is equal to $Fn_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2)$ since finite functions are the same in $V$ and $V[H_1]$. Hence $V[G]$ can be viewed as an extension of a model of CH by the Cohen forcing which adds $\omega_2$ Cohen reals. It is well-known (see [11]) that $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ holds in such models.

We work in $V$. By contradiction, suppose that in $V^{\mathbb{R}}$ there is a Banach space which contains an isomorph of every UG Banach space of density $\omega_2$. Let $\dot{X}$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-name for it. Since $\mathbb{R} \Vdash |d(\dot{X})| = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$, we get that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash |\dot{X}| = \mathfrak{c}^\omega = \omega_2$, so that there is a family of $\mathbb{R}$-names $(\dot{v}_\eta)_{\eta<\omega_2}$ such that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash \dot{X} = \{\dot{v}_\eta : \eta < \omega_2\}$.

For each $F \in [\omega_2]^{<\omega}$, let $A_F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a maximal antichain in $\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $r \in A_F$,

$$\text{either } r \Vdash \|\sum_{\eta\in F} \dot{v}_\eta\| > 1 \quad \text{ or } r \Vdash \|\sum_{\eta\in F} \dot{v}_\eta\| \leq 1.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}$ is $\omega_2$-cc, each $A_F$ has cardinality at most $\omega_1$ and for each $(C, \pi) \in A_F$, $|dom(\pi)| \leq \omega$. Then, the set

$$\bigcup\{dom(\pi) : (C, \pi) \in A_F \text{ for some } F \in [\omega_2]^{<\omega} \text{ and } C \in Fn_{<\omega}(\omega_2, 2)\}$$

has cardinality at most $\omega_2$. Fix $\gamma_0 \in \omega_3$ such that for every $F \in [\omega_2]^{<\omega}$ and every $(C, \pi) \in A_F$, $\gamma_0 \notin dom(\pi)$.

In $V^{\mathbb{R}}$, let $K_{\gamma_0}$ be the Stone space of the c-algebra generated by the family $(A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0))_{\xi\in\omega_2, i\in\omega}$ and let us show that $C(K_{\gamma_0})$ does not embed isomorphically into $\dot{X}$. For each $(i, \xi) \in \omega \times \omega_2$, let $\dot{A}_{\xi,i}$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-name for $A_{\xi,i}(\gamma_0)$.

Suppose there is $\dot{T} : C(K_{\gamma_0}) \to \dot{X}$ an isomorphic embedding and without loss of generality, assume that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash \|\dot{T}\| = 1$. Let $r' \in \mathbb{R}$ and find $r'' \leq r'$ and $m \in \omega$ such that $r'' \Vdash \|\dot{T}^{-1}\| < \check{m}$.

For each $\alpha < \omega_2$, let $\{\xi_k(\alpha) : 1 \leq k \leq m\} \subseteq \omega_2 \setminus \alpha$ have cardinality $m$ and take $r_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r_\alpha \leq r''$ and $\eta_1(\alpha), \ldots \eta_m(\alpha) \in \omega_2$ such that

$$r_\alpha \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k \leq m \quad \dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha),k}(\gamma_0)]}) = \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha)}.$$

Let $r_\alpha = (C_\alpha, \pi_\alpha)$, $s_\alpha = (C_\alpha, \pi_\alpha|_{\omega_2\setminus\{\gamma_0\}}) \in Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2\setminus\{\gamma_0\}}$ and $\pi_\alpha(\gamma_0) = (D_\alpha, F_\alpha)$. Without loss of generality, by 3.3 we may assume that $\xi_1(\alpha), \ldots, \xi_m(\alpha) \in D_\alpha$. Using CH and the $\Delta$-system lemma for countable sets, we may assume that $(D_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_2}$ is a $\Delta$-system with a countable root $D$ and that $\xi_1(\alpha), \ldots, \xi_m(\alpha) \in D_\alpha \setminus D$

for each $\alpha < \omega_2$ and that for each $\alpha < \omega_2$ the conditions $\pi_\alpha(\gamma_0)$ are isomorphic in the sense of Definition 3.5.

By Proposition 3.10, $Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. So, given $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m$, we can apply Lemma 3.12 to $s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_m}$ and get $s \in Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ such that $s \leq s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_m}$.

Let $F = \{\eta_1(\alpha_1), \dots, \eta_m(\alpha_m)\}$ and since $A_F$ is a maximal antichain in $\mathbb{R}$ which is contained in $Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$, $A_F$ is also a maximal antichain in $Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$. Then, there is $s' \in A_F$ and $s'' \in Fn(\omega_2, 2) \times \Pi_{\omega_2 \setminus \{\gamma_0\}}$ such that $s'' \leq s, s'$. Since $s'' \leq s'$ and $s' \in A_F$, either

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| \leq 1$$

or

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 1.$$

**Case 1.** $s''$ forces that $\|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 1$.

In this case, let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $q \leq \pi_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \dots, \pi_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$ obtained by Lemma 3.6 and let $r = (s'', q) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $r \leq s''$, $r \leq r_{\alpha_1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_m}$ and $r \leq q \leq \pi_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \dots, \pi_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$. So,

$$r \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k < k' \leq m \quad \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k), i_k}(\gamma_0) \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_{k'}(\alpha_{k'}), i_{k'}}(\gamma_0) = \emptyset$$

so that

$$r \Vdash \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| = 1.$$

Since $r \leq r_{\alpha_1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_m}$,

$$r \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k \leq m \quad \dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k), i_k}(\gamma_0)]}) = \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha_k)},$$

so that, using the fact that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash \|\dot{T}\| = 1$, we get that

$$r \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| \leq \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| = 1,$$

contradicting the fact that $r \leq s''$ and

$$s'' \Vdash \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| > 1.$$

**Case 2.** $s''$ forces that $\|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| \leq 1$.

In this case, let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $q \leq \pi_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \dots, \pi_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$ obtained by Lemma 3.8 and let $r = (s'', q) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $r \leq s''$, $r \leq r_{\alpha_1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_m}$ and $r \leq q \leq \pi_{\alpha_1}(\gamma_0), \dots, \pi_{\alpha_m}(\gamma_0)$. So,

$$r \Vdash \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0) \cap \cdots \cap \dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0) \neq \emptyset$$

so that

$$r \Vdash \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1), i_1}(\gamma_0)]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m), i_m}(\gamma_0)]}\| = m.$$

Since $r \leq r_{\alpha_1}, \dots, r_{\alpha_m}$,

$$r \Vdash \forall 1 \leq k \leq m \quad \dot{T}(\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_k(\alpha_k), i_k}(\gamma_0)]}) = \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_k(\alpha_k)},$$

so that, using the fact that $\mathbb{R} \Vdash \|\dot{T}^{-1}\| < m$ and that $r \leq s''$, we get that

$$r \Vdash \|\chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_1(\alpha_1), i_1}]} + \cdots + \chi_{[\dot{A}_{\check{\xi}_m(\alpha_m), i_m}]}\| \leq \|\dot{T}^{-1}\| \cdot \|\dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_1(\alpha_1)} + \cdots + \dot{v}_{\check{\eta}_m(\alpha_m)}\| < m,$$

which contradicts our assumption.

Since the condition $r' \in \mathbb{R}$ was arbitrary, we showed that a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$ forces the nonexistence of the embedding $\dot{T}$, hence it does not exist in $V[G]$. $\square$

## References

1. D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss, *The Structure of Weakly Compact Sets in Banach Spaces* Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968) 35 - 46
2. S. Argyros, Y. Benyamini, *Universal WCG Banach spaces and universal Eberlein compacts.* Israel J. Math. 58 (1987), no. 3, 305 - 320.
3. M. Bell, *Universal uniform Eberlein compact spaces.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 7, 2191 - 2197,
4. M. Bell, W. Marciszewski, *Universal spaces for classes of scattered Eberlein compact spaces.* J. Symbolic Logic 71 (2006), no. 3, 1073 - 1080.
5. J. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), no. 3, 396 - 414.
6. Y. Benyamini, M. Rudin, M. Wage, *Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces.* Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977), no. 2, 309 - 324.
7. C. Brech, P. Koszmider, *On universal Banach spaces of density continuum*, To appear in Israel J. Math.
8. M. Dzamonja, L. Soukup, *Some Notes*, circulated notes 2010.
9. M. Fabian et al, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
10. M. Fabian, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, *The structure of uniformly Gateaux smooth Banach spaces.* Israel J. Math. 124 (2001), 243 - 252.
11. K. Kunen, *Set Theory. An introduction to independence proofs*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 102, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
12. Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 55, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1971.
13. S. Todorcevic, *Embedding function spaces in $\ell_\infty/c_0$*, preprint 2010.

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, 05314-970, São Paulo, Brazil
*E-mail address*: `christina.brech@gmail.com`

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland

Institute of Mathematics, Technical University of Łódź, ul. Wólczańska 215, 90-924 Łódź, Poland
*E-mail address*: `piotr.math@gmail.com`